

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2410
Title of the Manuscript:	Uremic Bleeding in 2 Patients with Dieulafoy’s Lesion: Case Report and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors bring to light a frequently overlooked lesion in patients with chronic renal failure Dieulafoy lesion although represents an up to 5%of bleeding cases in this patient group is thought to be a rare disease Moreover it can end up in patient death even if treated early as it happen in both patients presented Those cases permit authors to present dieulafoy lesion pathophysiology, clinical presentation and treatment	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Chronic renal failure and bleeding due to Dieulafoy lesion: 2 case reports and literature review” might be better	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive Nevertheless any additions in discussion should be mirrored in it	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Authors should clarify that prevalence of bleeding is 50-150 cases per 100000, nevertheless in CKD it is 2.4-4.2% They should give a range of numerical values for Dieulafoy bleeding instead of providing different values in different parts of the text. Since it is a book chapter they should give more details about the two different theories of Dieulafoy lesion pathogenesis and the main theory about bleeding They should describe all risk conditions IHD, CKD, DM, senilityas well as use of NSAIDs and anticoagulants They should provide more details about cause of bleeding in CKD apart from bleeding tenency stressing also the role of hypertension and DM in increasing vessel fragility They should discuss the role of blood tranfusions and erythropoietin in reducing bleeding tendency They should also discuss endoscopic presentation of Dieulafoy lesions Moreover they should discuss where they usually present in general population (upper part of lesser curvature of the stomach) and in CKD (duodenum) They also should discuss more about endoscopic treatments and ibdications for surgery and stress that long term follow up data are scarce Also they should mention that usually rebleeding is recorded within a few hors as in their 2 nd patient or in 7-10 days when clip might have dropped.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript provides an overview of Dieulafoy bleeding Focusing in CKD lesions would increase scientific value Discussion of different epidemiology, locanion of lesions would shed light in disease pathophysiology Also discussion on the role of tranfusions and proper time for endoscopy would make the text more practical	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Inayat F, et al.Rectal Dieulafoy’s lesion: a comprehensive review of patient characteristics, presentation patterns, diagnosis, management, and clinical outcomes Translational gastroenterology and Hepatology 2022;7:10-24 Baxter M, Aly EH Dieulafoy’s lesion: current trends in diagnosis andmanagementAnn R Coll Surg Engl 2010; 92: 548–554 Bounour R, et al Dieulafoy’s Lesion of the Duodenum: The Management of This Unusual	

Review Form2

	Location Case Reports in Clinical Medicine 2022;11:268-72 Laeq SM, et al. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with end stage renal disease: causes, characteristics and factors associated with need for endoscopic therapeutic interventionJournal of Translational Internal Medicine 2017;5:106-11 Negri AL, et al. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients in chronic hemodialysis. Nephron 1994;67:130.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language polishing is necessary	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece