

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Seamless Learning of Chinese as a Foreign Language: Enhancing Productive Vocabulary Growth and Lexical Richness in Writing</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_2992G
Title of the Manuscript:	Seamless Learning of Chinese as a Foreign Language: Enhancing Productive Vocabulary Growth and Lexical Richness in Writing
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the scholarly and scientific community will find great interest in the paper "Seamless Learning of Chinese as a Foreign Language: Enhancing Productive Vocabulary Growth and Lexical Richness in Writing" especially scholars and educators interested in language learning and pedagogy. It explores the complex procedure of lexical richness development in writing among Chinese language learners. Through an investigation of seamless learning strategies, the book offers insightful information about improving literacy and language ability. For language teachers, curriculum developers, and policymakers looking to maximize language learning outcomes, its results and approaches have real-world ramifications. It also contributes to the expanding corpus of research on educational psychology and second language acquisition, therefore enhancing our knowledge of successful teaching methods and learner outcomes in foreign language education.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title of the book clearly conveys the main idea of the work, which is the smooth integration of language learning strategies to improve vocabulary expansion and lexical richness in writing among Chinese language learners. However, it could be refined to be more concise while retaining its informative value. One possible improvement could be: "Enhancing Vocabulary Growth and Lexical Richness in Chinese Language Writing Through Seamless Learning" The major ideas of the previous title are kept in this updated version, which also simplifies the language for clarity and succinctness. It emphasizes the main objective of the study and the approach used to achieve it, making it more accessible to potential readers.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract summarizes a comprehensive overview of the research conducted on seamless Chinese vocabulary learning (SCVL) to enhance vocabulary growth and lexical richness in writing among CFL learners. It effectively presents the research aim, methodology, participants, data collection methods, and key findings. The abstract follows a structured format, presenting both quantitative and qualitative data analysis results, as well as the learners' experiences and perceptions of SCVL.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, I am satisfied with the English quality of the article and the language proficiency is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The comments are as below, as divided separately for each section. 1. Introduction - Provide background information to give readers an understanding of the subject area and any relevant historical or theoretical context, however it is advisable for authors to include and identify the gap or niche in existing literature that the study intends to fill or contribute to. This helps to justify the need for the research. 2. ROs and RQs - The ROs and RQs contain clarity and focus, having clear direct ensuring that the study remains relevant and meaningful.	

BPI Review Form 070520

	3. Methodology -Authors have presented a thorough explanation of the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques employed. Also, a good effort by incorporate visual aids such as diagrams, flowcharts, or infographics to illustrate complex processes and enhance understanding. 4. Results -The authors presented more context on the data that results look more solid. The data is analyzed properly. 5. Discussion -The authors offers insightful discussions that it interprets the result well. 6. Conclusion -The author concludes the conclusion succinctly recaps the primary results, although it may be enhanced by highlighting the wider ramifications of the study. Also, the authors emphasize the study's contributions to the field and reaffirm its significance. 7. Recommendation -The authors presented well recommendation as by following these recommendations, practitioners and policymakers can effectively utilize research findings to inform decision-making, enhance policies, and improve outcomes in their respective fields	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references are proper and sufficient as the assessment of references in a manuscript considers not only the quantity but also the quality, relevance, and currency of the cited sources in advancing the research objectives. However, authors should consider adding recent citations to their manuscript to ensure that the research is up-to-date and reflects the latest advancements in the field.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Nabila Binti Azman
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia