

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1474
Title of the Manuscript:	Fodder Diversity, Availability and Utilization Pattern in Western Himalayas
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the manuscript on Fodder Diversity, Availability, and Utilization in the Western Himalayas is a significant contribution to the scientific community. It sheds light on the reliance of farmers in the region on tree foliage for livestock feed, highlighting seasonal variations in fodder availability and the impact of altitude and season on farmers' preferences for specific tree species. The study addresses a research gap in comprehensive case studies on fodder resources in the Uttarakhand Himalayas and emphasizes the importance of understanding utilization patterns for environmental conservation. Methodological rigor, including surveys and specimen collection from reputable institutions, adds credibility to the research. The findings have implications for policy-making related to sustainable livestock management, biodiversity conservation, and land-use planning in the Himalayan region, making the manuscript relevant and valuable for both the scientific community and broader stakeholders.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the title of the article, "Fodder Diversity, Availability, and Utilization in the Western Himalayas," is suitable as it accurately reflects the main focus and content of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract of the article was comprehensive	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p>Based on the provided excerpts from the manuscript, here are comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections:</p> <p>Title: The title "Fodder Diversity, Availability, and Utilization in the Western Himalayas" is appropriate as it clearly reflects the main focus of the study on fodder resources in a specific geographical region.</p> <p>Abstract: The abstract provides a concise overview of the study, highlighting the key aspects of fodder diversity, availability, and utilization in the Western Himalayas. It could be enhanced by including specific key findings and implications of the research.</p> <p>Introduction: The introduction likely provides background information on the importance of fodder resources in the Western Himalayas and sets the context for the study. It should introduce the research gap and objectives clearly.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: The section on materials and methods appears to be appropriately structured, detailing the study area, methodology, and data collection techniques. Mention of collaboration with reputable institutions adds credibility to the research.</p> <p>Results: The results section should present the findings related to fodder diversity, availability, and utilization patterns in a clear and organized manner. It should include relevant data and analysis to support the study's objectives.</p>	

BPI Review Form 070520

	<p>Discussion: The discussion section should interpret the results, compare them with existing literature, and discuss the implications of the findings for environmental conservation and livestock management. It should also address the limitations of the study.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion should summarize the key findings, reiterate the significance of the research, and suggest potential avenues for future research in the field of fodder resources in the Western Himalayas.</p> <p>References: The references cited in the manuscript indicate a scholarly approach and provide credibility to the research by acknowledging previous studies in the field.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript appears to have appropriately structured sections that cover the essential components of a research article. Enhancements in certain areas, such as the abstract and discussion, could further improve the clarity and impact of the study.</p>	
<p>Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.</p>	<p>Yes, based on the references provided in the manuscript, it appears that the references are proper, recent, and relevant to the study on fodder diversity, availability, and utilization in the Western Himalayas.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Mohammed Yousuf</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Dambi Dollo University, Ethiopia</p>