

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1653
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of Energy Management System based on ISO 50001 for Energy-Cost Saving and Reduction of CO2-Emission: A review of implementation, benefits, and challenges
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This title is very interesting and invites the reader to continue reading this manuscript. This manuscript is interesting and important for scientific community . I found this study interesting and relevant in the field. I believe that the author has adequate understanding and knowledge of the issues covered in this manuscript. This manuscript title is quite able, quite clear and very interesting, but ideally a good title is one that shows: [a] topical substance, [b] research context, [c] describing research methods, [d] value and originality .	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	This manuscript title is suitable, attractive, quite clear and very interesting. If I may suggest an alternative title: " The role of an Energy Management System (EMS) based on ISO 50001 in achieving energy cost savings and reductions in CO₂-Emissions: A review of the implementation, benefits, and challenges of the Energy Management System based on ISO 50001 ".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The Abstract of the manuscript is quite good and comprehensive. Kindly, it is better to avoid writing equations or formulas in the abstract. The abstract of the manuscript is quite good and has been equipped with a. the purpose of the research conducted, b. The research method used, c. The main findings or results of the research, d. Originality and value of the research conducted, e. Implications of the studies conducted.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Good enough English quality and suitable for scholarly communications	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	I think the subsections and structure of the manuscript are good enough and appropriate. This manuscript has been well written and sequenced , where the storyline is explained in sequence, clearly and structured . The Chapters and subchapters have been arranged sequentially to form a complete and inseparable manuscript unit . This is one of the strengths of this manuscript. My suggestion is that this Book Chapter can be published immediately , of course by writing down your important findings , as well as the benefits and urgency of the topic you are discussing. Kindly, it is better to avoid writing equations or formulas in the abstract. The references used in this manuscript are satisfied (37 references) but not recently. I suggest that you increase the number of references based on reputable references and recently articles. By looking at up-to-date and recent references , readers can quickly see whether the manuscript is appropriate, current and adequate. Hopefully this weakness will be a concern for improvements in the parts that need to be repaired .	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	SPECIAL COMMENT This manuscript has been well written following the way of writing a Book Chapter . Research objectives, Hypotheses, Significance of the Study, Justification of the Study, Scope of the Study and the Proposed Method, have all been well and clearly stated. References are mostly dominated by textbooks, journal articles, Standard, Government Policy, websites and Conference Proceedings , with the number of references is satisfied (37 references) . By looking at the references, readers can quickly see whether the manuscript is appropriate, recent and sufficient . The results and discussions have been presented properly according to the rules of good and correct writing . I believe that the author has adequate understanding and knowledge of the issues covered in this manuscript. I hope Book Chapter can be published immediately .	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yusuf Suryo Utomo
Department, University & Country	Indonesia