

[Review Form2](#)

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Book Name: | Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BPR_1802 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Right-sided Intrathoracic Ectopic Kidney associated with Symptomatic Bochdalek Hernia: Case report and Review of Literature |
| Type of the Article | Book Chapter |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Rare disorder which mimics a number of common conditions definitely useful in patient management Good manuscript as it relates to a problem that has to be kept in mind by clinicians .Simple and easy to follow | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title is appropriate | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the article is comprehensive .A couple of sentences highlighted can be rephrased . | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Subsections and structure of the manuscript are acceptable | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Highlights a rare entity seen in sdults.Describes the clinical presentation and differentials .Structured presentation with all relevant information . | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | All references have to be reformatted in a scientifically acceptable style (eg Vancouver 0 | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Minor revisions needed – have highlighted sentences with grammatical errors and need to be rephrased . | |
| Optional/General comments | Few grammatical changes required. References have to be reformatted .Concise presentation of a rare entity . | |

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Radhika Raman |
| Department, University & Country | India |