

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1813
Title of the Manuscript:	TTA-A2, a T-type calcium channel blocker, as a potential adjuvant of paclitaxel in chemotherapy
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>the article is relevant for bringing news on the topic of chemotherapy that can help professionals in the field;</p> <p>it is relevant because it brings up-to-date questions on the topic;</p> <p>Present an important discussion on the topic for more knowledge about it.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the summary is understandable and presents the necessary items of a summary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	the structure of the article is appropriate with relevant considerations on the highlighted topic.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>the article is relevant for bringing news on the topic of chemotherapy that can help professionals in the field;</p> <p>it is relevant because it brings up-to-date questions on the topic;</p> <p>Present an important discussion on the topic for more knowledge about it.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	the references are adequate.	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English language are adequate.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Diego da Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>UniEnsino, Brasil</b>