

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1848
Title of the Manuscript:	Harmonising Strategy, Innovation, and Law: Navigating the Path to Ethical and Sustainable Progress
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article contains a lot of material on theoretical aspects of a general nature about the importance of innovation. Unfortunately, the authors did not focus on certain areas or prospects, such as the media of the future, big data management, logistics without people, etc. There is a particular lack of analytical material, numbers, how it was, how it is and what are the forecasts for the future. For all 10 points of the article structure, it would be good to provide, in addition to theoretical analysis, also analysis in the form of statistics. Theoretical analytics is from 2010, 2015, 2016. These are very old publications if we write about innovations. Now only the prospects for medicine provide a horizon up to 2030, many global programs, strategies for development and support of innovations. The legal aspects of innovation development are also quickly adapting based on the demands of the community, so it is necessary to analyze the publications of recent years, starting with the current dynamics and prospects for the future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Harmonization is mutual agreement, systematization, unification, coordination, ordering, ensuring mutual compliance of economic processes, relations, goods, taxes, etc. IF we consider it from the point of view of this definition, the article does not sufficiently disclose the unification, coordination, ordering, ensuring mutual compliance of the legal aspect of innovations. Neither from the point of view of locality (countries), industries, years (historical aspect) and so on	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The anatomy of the article states that through a comprehensive literature review, the impact of strategic planning, the catalytic role of innovation in economic growth, and the challenges and opportunities provided by legal frameworks in governing these areas are discussed. I think this is not true, this aspect is not sufficiently disclosed and needs to be improved.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All sub-item titles correspond to their content	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is written in scientific language. Unfortunately, the authors did not analyze the latest publications and did not group analytical and statistical data. It is not entirely clear how relevant the article will be for practical application given the lack of certain data indicated above.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references to the literature on the main points are unfortunately not fresh and up-to-date and need to be updated.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I do not have sufficient competence to determine the quality of writing an article in English.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kateryna Andriushchenko
Department, University & Country	Vadym Hetman Kyiv National Economic University, Ukraine