

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1848
Title of the Manuscript:	Harmonising Strategy, Innovation, and Law: Navigating the Path to Ethical and Sustainable Progress
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article effectively bridges theoretical insights with practical examples using Apple and Tesla case demonstrating real life scenarios. 2. In today's rapidly evolving technological landscape, understanding the dynamics of strategy, innovation and law is crucial. 3. The paper provides a comprehensive literature review and connects theory with practice. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically robust due to its rigorous analysis of the interplay between strategy, innovation and law using case studies and systematic literature review. This approach enhances the manuscripts credibility and contributes valuable insights to both academic discourse and practical application.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	Yes. However, the author may add the the following 2 theories in the current paper. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dynamic Capabilities Theory developed by David Teece which provides insights on how the firms can sustain to address rapidly changing environment. 2. Theories of Technological Innovation Diffusion by E. M Rogers focused on the spread of innovation through population. 	

Review Form2

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article follows the methods of academic writing. Some of the minor revisions are as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. To uphold academic integrity, it is essential to promptly cite the original article published in the Beijing Law Review within the references section of the manuscript.2. The author should clarify whether it is an extension, replication, or refinement of the previous work to strengthen its scholarly impact.3. The author should explicitly discuss the implication of the study. How can understanding this interplay better inform strategic decision-making and policy formulation? How can it satisfies societal needs?	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salma Begum
Department, University & Country	JAIN Deemed to be University, India