

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences - Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1324
Title of the Manuscript:	Metal Complexes in Biology and Medicine: The System Mercury(II) / Nickel(II) / Lead(II) – Norvaline
Type of the Article	Book chapter

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To ensure a high-quality review, reviewers are rated on a 5-point scale and reviewers with an average of 3 or more points will be included in the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame. Please see details of the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame here:

One point is assigned for each of the following:

1. The reviewer responded to the review invitation within the requested time frame.
2. The reviewer reviewed the manuscript within the requested time frame.
3. The review addressed all aspects that were asked for in the reviewer guidelines.
4. The reviewer gave suggestions to improve the revised version
5. The review was written in a friendly and encouraging way.

Special note:

A research paper which has already been published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form. This is acceptable in the academic world.

Source Article:

This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.

Journal of REVISTA BOLIVIANA DE QUÍMICA, 28(1): 2011.

Available: https://www.bolivianchemistryjournal.org/QUIMICA%202011%20PDF/3_BINARY%20COMPLEXES%20HG-II%20NI-II%20PB-II%20NORVALINE,%20v.28%20,%20n.1,%202011.pdf

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, it is very important as it will give awareness to the people on the use of metal ions in medicine and biology.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract is good.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English language is okay.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript is well written.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	In the conclusion, toxicity in higher concentration should be written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flyndon Mark S. Dagalea
Department, University & Country	University of Eastern Philippines, Philippines