

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1798
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictions of Cutting Tool Wear of Straight Milled Aspen Wood with Taylor’s Equation
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript introduces novel insights that can drive further research and innovation in the field. Additionally, the findings have significant implications for theoretical understanding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract has to include a few significant quantitative study findings. Quantify the abstract and point out and report key results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust due to its adherence to established research. The authors have validated their findings. The clear presentation of the methodology and the transparent reporting of results further enhance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	I think more references should be cited especially recent papers.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Title: Predictions of Cutting Tool Wear of Straight Milled Aspen Wood with Taylor's Equation 1. The abstract has to include a few significant quantitative study findings. Quantify the abstract and point out and report key results. 2. In the introduction, the authors need to compare the literature results and provide clear insight into the necessity of conducting this research. 3. The author's outlook can be added at the end. 4. Write the specific objectives of this work clearly, in one paragraph, as points (i).... (ii).... (iii)and (iv). 5. Please check the entire document for formatting, spacing and grammar mistakes. 6. Conclusion part should be rewritten by giving the results of the study, recommendations and futureprospects.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roya Mehrkhah
Department, University & Country	Colorado School of Mines, The United States of America