Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_1975**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Anti-inflammatory Activity of Asparagus officinalis Extract on Liver Inflammation Carrageenan-Induced in Swiss Albino Mice**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses a significant gap in our understanding of anti-inflammatory activity. It provides comprehensive data and insights that can propel further research and practical applications in this field. I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough methodology and the clarity with which complex concepts are explained. However, I find certain aspects could benefit from more detailed analysis, which would enhance the robustness of the conclusions drawn.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**ok**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive in outlining the main objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. It effectively summarizes the key points and provides a clear snapshot of the research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**ok**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its rigorous methodology and thorough analysis. The experimental design is well-structured, ensuring reproducibility and reliability of the results. The authors have provided comprehensive data and statistical analysis, which strengthens the validity of their conclusions. Additionally, the manuscript's adherence to established scientific protocols and its detailed documentation of procedures contribute to its overall credibility and reliability .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**ok**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	ok	
Optional/Generalcomments		

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Abu Md Ashif Ikbal**
Department, University & Country	**Assam University, India**