

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1833
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Changes in Iraq: The Causes and Solutions
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	I have the following comments: 1. In the Introduction section, there is a clear lack for identifying the real causes of climate change in Iraq, a general description of the possible solutions and the objectives of this study. 2. There is no description of the methodology of how the authors collected the data and analyzed the data. 3. Section 2 (The Impact of Global Warming on the World's Climate) is written non-scientifically. The authors should focus on the effect of global warming from the Iraq point of view. 4. Section 3 (Climate change in Iraq: The Causes) needs more data with proper references. 5. Section 4 (Climate Change in Iraq; The Solutions) is written non-professionally and non-scientifically. The proposed solutions need to be justified with presenting the data/evidence. 6. Section 5 (Discussion) is badly written. There is no description on how the study outcomes/approaches can be established by comparing other studies/national policies/programmes. 7. Section 6 (Conclusions). The text of this section is repeated from the previous section. 8. The English of the manuscript is sub-standard, and many terms are non-scientific. Final Comments: 1. The manuscript needs major revision by fixing the above comments (1-8). 2. The English of this manuscript needs to be proofread by a professional. (I have made some modifications in the Abstract Section. The authors can flow them and accordingly modify the whole manuscript) 1. Greenhouse gases from human activities are the primary driver of climate change today. CO2 and other greenhouse gases like methane and nitrous oxide are emitted by burning fossil fuels in Iraq. 2. Annual CO2 emissions figures are often used to evaluate the country's profile of climate change. 3. A couple of solutions are illustrated under this study to address climate change drivers, for instance the burning of associated gas due to oil operations, which contributes to the atmospheric pollution as well as contributes to the phenomenon of global warming that affects climate change in Iraq and the rest of world. 4. The study envisaged that the reorientation of benefiting from the production of liquefied gas for export to support the national economy of Iraq could one of the best choices, and other options include establishing of gas-powered electric generating stations coupling with developing plans to eliminate open gas burning as a measure for environmental safety and preserving the health of humans and other	

Review Form2

	organisms.	
--	------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamrul Hassan
Department, University & Country	University of Eastern Finland, Finland