**Review Form 2**

| | |
|---|---|
| Book Name: | Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_BPR_1828** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **2-Methoxyestradiol (2ME) as an Anti-Angiogenic and Anti-Proliferative Agent on in vivo Sarcoma 180 Tumour** |
| Type of the Article | **Book chapter** |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The present manuscript is important for the science field because it has discussed new points and new way of treating the Cancer which has been a commonly effected disorder to the majority of the population. I liked the manuscript because the aspect of treating the disease and protection given by in one therapy .** | |
| **Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title of the article is suitable** | |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **YES the abstract of the article is comprehensive.** | |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate** | |
| **Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **Scientifically this manuscript is good because it has shown a new development in the particular treatment which leads to further investigational development in the particular area of interest I.e sarcoma cancer .it is scientifically robust because the same dose combination is used for protection of the living being which means irrational use of the drug may not lead to ineffective or causing toxic effects. Technically it may not be sound because doses are prepared in traditional regular manner but the mentioned different types of cancer therapy may involve involve advanced technology than existimg** | |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **-** | **Yes references are sufficient and recent** | |
| Minor REVISION comments<br>**Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Yes English language quality is suitable for scholarly communication | |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

**Review Form 2**

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |

**Reviewer Details:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **V.J. Krishna Reddy** |
| Department, University & Country | **Srinivasa Rao College of Pharmacy, India** |