

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2840
Title of the Manuscript:	Wise Saying or “Foolish” Saying: Assessing the Views on the Impact of Some Ghanaian Proverbs and Sayings on Gender Relations in the Frafra Traditional Area of Ghana
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is an interesting one. However, it deals with a rather old topic of the representation of women in proverbs from the CDA perspective. The novelty about this study is that it deals with this rather old topic within a context of which we do not have enough information, that is Ghana. This makes the study interesting. However, the author did not refer to the particular approach within CDA that they have focused on to analyze the proverbs. This puts the rigor of the study under serious question.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title has two main problems: 1. I do not understand the use of the word “foolish” in the title. Is there any reason? 2. The second part of the title reads “Assessing the Views on the Impact of Some Ghanaian Proverbs and Sayings on Gender Relations in the Frafra Traditional Area of Ghana”. However, I hardly could find any assessment of the impact of proverbs on gender relation in the Ghanaian society. This study is simply a proverb analysis rather than a social or sociolinguistic analysis. For example, in the abstract it is claimed that “These proverbs implicitly limit the socio-cultural space in which men can communicate their socially created ordeals”. No support from the collected data was reported for this claim. All the arguments were based on the researchers’ intuitions!	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is well-written.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript follows the structure of an academic paper. The manuscript develops the significance of the study in the introduction well. The manuscript investigates the already investigated topic within a new and untouched context.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Some references regarding the related empirical studies are missing. Here are some suggestions: Dabbagh, A., & Babaii, E. (2023). Representation of women in English and Persian proverbs: A Cultural-Linguistics perspective. <i>Pragmatics and Society</i> , x(x), xxx-xxx. Ncube, Bhekezakhe, and Moyo, Thamsanqa. 2011. “Portraying Women as the Other: Ndebele Proverbs and Idioms in the Context of Gender Construction.” <i>Africana</i> 5 (3): 126–142.	

[Review Form2](#)

	Nakhavaly, Fekhteh, and Sharifi, Shahla. 2013. "On Sex Discrimination in Persian Proverbs." <i>Theory and Practice in Language Studies</i> 3 (1): 195–200.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	For more detailed comments, please refer to the manuscript main file. I left some comments for the consideration of the authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Dabbagh
Department, University & Country	Gonbad Kavous University, Iran