

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2694
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Theories of Learning and How They Explain the Causes of Indiscipline in Society
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has something to contribute to the development of education. The manuscript portrays a new perspective on how behavioural and cognitive learning theories can be used to explain the causes of indiscipline which is now prevalent among school students.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is quite suitable as the content of the manuscript reflects the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive but there is need for the abstract to be more explicit in describing the major points in the article. There is also need for the abstract to conclude by drawing attention to areas where the study can be of immense benefit.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is need for the manuscript to have a "Recommendations" subheading as all studies must provide tangible recommendations to be complete and useful. There is also need for a "Conclusion" subheading at the end of the manuscript where all that can be gathered from the discussions and new grounds broken can be summarised and pointed out.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is not an empirical article as it does not report methods and findings of an original research study conducted by the author of the article. Hence, it has no scientific basis. However, the article is technically sound as it portrays a new perspective on how learning theories can be used to explain the causes of indiscipline	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. However, all sources cited in the body of the manuscript should be accurately referenced using the latest edition of APA,	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is of interest as new perspectives have been portrayed. The literature on learning theories reviewed is crucial to the study and firmly grounded in theoretical constructs. The author has made an impressive attempt to explain how different learning theories can be used to understand the causes of indiscipline. A good number of sources consulted are also within the decade.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aliyu Yaya Aliyu
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Education, Nigeria