

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2694
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Theories of Learning and How They Explain the Causes of Indiscipline in Society
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community because it synthesizes various learning theories to explain the root causes of indiscipline in educational settings. By drawing from classical conditioning, operant conditioning, social learning, and cognitive learning theories, it provides a comprehensive understanding of how negative behaviors are learned and how they can be mitigated. The discussion on behavioral reinforcement and punishment also presents practical strategies for educators. I find this manuscript valuable as it bridges theoretical concepts with real-world applications, making it highly relevant for both educational theorists and practitioners.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Some Learning Theories and How to Explain the Causes of Indiscipline in Society" is clear and reflects the content of the article, but it could be more concise and specific. For example like this: "Learning Theories and Their Role in Overcoming Indiscipline in Society" This title still focuses on learning theories while emphasizing their practical application in understanding and overcoming such indiscipline.	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive in highlighting the main points about learning theories and their relationship to undisciplined behavior. It provides an overview of the major learning theories—classical conditioning, operant conditioning, and social-cognitive learning—and explains how these theories help to understand the root causes of undisciplined behavior.</p> <p>A few suggestions to strengthen the abstract include:</p> <p>First, the abstract could be made more concise. Although it touches on several learning theories, the transitions between these theories are somewhat abrupt. Consider refining the language to create smoother transitions.</p> <p>Second, it is important to provide an overview of how the theories relate to undisciplined behavior. Including brief examples or summarizing how each theory specifically explains undisciplined behavior would provide a clearer understanding.</p> <p>Third, it relates to the focus of the study. It would be helpful to state this explicitly in the abstract.</p> <p>Fourth, it relates to methodology. The abstract does not indicate whether there is an empirical component to the study. If applicable, it is helpful to mention the methodology or research approach, as this helps to set expectations for the reader.</p> <p>Finally, regarding the conclusion. The abstract needs to make a conclusive statement that summarizes the main points of the theories discussed. This will give the reader a sense of direction in the overall argument.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure of the manuscript has followed the standard academic format with main sections such as abstract, introduction, theoretical discussion, and references. However, there are some things that need to be considered for improvement:</p> <p>First, in the abstract section it is better to summarize the main conclusions or findings briefly in addition to presenting the theories. Including the purpose of the study and how these theories address the interdisciplinary problem will make it more comprehensive.</p> <p>Second, the introduction section can be more focused on the specific problem or gap in the literature that the study aims to address. Including the research question or purpose will provide a clearer direction.</p> <p>Third, in the theoretical framework section, it is necessary to integrate these theories into a cohesive framework or model that directly addresses the causes of interdisciplinary. Explaining how each theory contributes to the understanding of interdisciplinary will create a better flow between sections.</p> <p>Fourth, the organization of the sections, especially the discussion on classical and operant conditioning, is detailed but it would be better if there were more subheadings to improve readability. You might consider organizing each theory with subheadings such as “Definition,” “Application to Discipline,” and “Implications for Education.”</p> <p>Finally, be sure to include a conclusion section to summarize the main points and suggest practical implications or recommendations for addressing discipline based on the theories discussed.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness and robustness by thoroughly integrating established learning theories such as classical conditioning, operant conditioning, and social learning theory to explain the causes of indiscipline in schools and society. It effectively draws on multiple theoretical frameworks to support its analysis, ensuring a well-rounded approach to the topic. Furthermore, the manuscript carefully references relevant studies and examples, such as Pavlov's experiments and Bandura's social learning theory, which adds to its credibility. The clear connection between theory and practical application ensures the technical soundness of the arguments presented.</p>	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>This document contains references relevant to the topic discussed, particularly regarding learning theory and behavioral indiscipline. However, many of the sources appear to be old. Consider including more recent studies from 2020 onwards. For example:</p> <p>This document contains references relevant to the topic discussed, particularly regarding learning theory and behavioral indiscipline. However, many of the sources appear to be old. Consider including more recent studies from 2020 onwards. For example:</p> <p>Mustoip, S., Lestari, D., &Purwati, R. (2024). Implementation of STEAM Learning Methods to Develop Collaborative and Creative Characters of Elementary School Students. <i>JPS: Journal of Primary School</i>, 1(2), 13-20.</p> <p>Mustoip, S., Purwadi, P., &Fadhullah, M. Z. F. (2024, February). AI Integration in Islamic Character Development: Study of Elementary School Education Management in Indonesia-Türkiye. In <i>International Conference of BungaBangsa</i> (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 1072-1080).</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is generally good, but the manuscript could be improved in terms of structure, clarity, and punctuation to meet standards for scientific communication. Among other things, the manuscript frequently omits important punctuation, such as commas, which makes some sentences difficult to follow. For example, the section on classical conditioning would be better if the sentence structure was more precise and the punctuation was clearer.</p> <p>In addition, some ideas could be expressed more concisely. For example, phrases such as “a behavior is learned because it is associated with a stimulus in the environment” could be changed to avoid redundancy. Also, in the section explaining the theory, the flow between ideas could be smoother to avoid abrupt transitions.</p> <p>Furthermore, phrases such as “the bottom line is” may be too informal for a scientific article. In addition, the abstract could be refined to reflect the focus and purpose of the study more clearly and concisely.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs to improve clarity and flow by using more concise and direct sentences, especially in sections that explain theories. Simplify some of the language where possible, while maintaining depth of explanation, so that a wider audience can engage with the material. Additionally, strengthen the structure by grouping related theories and concepts under clearly labeled subheadings, which will help readers follow the argument. Integrating more examples or case studies will provide practical insight into how these theories manifest in real-world scenarios. Finally, ensure consistent referencing and proofreading to eliminate grammatical errors or formatting inconsistencies.</p> <p>The text in this document explores a variety of learning theories, including classical conditioning, operant conditioning, and social-cognitive learning, to explain the causes of indiscipline in society. Ethical concerns may arise from the discussion of punishment as a method of addressing indiscipline, especially when used excessively or without consideration of individual circumstances. For example, the emphasis on punishment (both positive and negative) may lead to unethical practices if not balanced with a constructive and compassionate approach. In addition, the potential for reinforcement to manipulate behavior may raise ethical issues related to autonomy and consent, especially in educational settings. Furthermore, the text discusses environmental factors in a way that may oversimplify the complex social and psychological influences on behavior, potentially overlooking deeper ethical considerations about the causes of indiscipline.</p>	

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sofyan Mustoip
Department, University & Country	Islamic University of Bunga Bangsa Cirebon, Indonesia