

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2765
Title of the Manuscript:	Rational and Challenges of Competency-Based Education and Training: The “Wickedness” of the Problem
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes ,title be suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not suitable , abstract must be representation of whole article	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No , there is no methodology part , how u study is lacking	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness and technical soundness through its clear conceptualization of "wicked problems" and their complexities in the context of competency-based education. It draws on established literature, such as Rittel and Webber's work on wicked problems and Conklin's generalization, ensuring theoretical robustness. The challenges and characteristics of wicked problems are well-delineated, and the manuscript provides evidence-based strategies for addressing these, such as collaborative approaches and innovative thinking. The use of real-world examples, such as environmental degradation and policy failures, adds to its empirical relevance and ensures the paper's practical applicability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references in the manuscript are not sufficient and many are not recent. Key sources, such as Rittel and Webber (1973) and Conklin (2005), are foundational but outdated given the evolving nature of wicked problems and competency-based education. More recent literature on competency-based education, sustainability, and addressing wicked problems, particularly in the African context, should be included to ensure the manuscript reflects the latest research and developments. Incorporating recent case studies or academic sources from the past five to ten years would strengthen the manuscript's relevance and scientific foundation.	

**Review Form2**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article need improvement to meet the standards of scholarly communication. There are several grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and inconsistent use of punctuation throughout the manuscript. Additionally, some sentences are overly complex, making the ideas difficult to follow. Simplifying sentence structure, improving clarity, and maintaining a formal academic tone will enhance the overall readability and professionalism of the article. A thorough review by a native English speaker or professional editor is recommended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p>The manuscript covers a relevant topic, but it requires better organization and clarity. Key points need further elaboration, and the language should be improved for scholarly communication. Updating references and enhancing overall structure would strengthen the paper.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maheshwor Pokhrel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>