

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2167
Title of the Manuscript:	Bone Marrow Biopsy Evaluation in Cases of Pancytopenia-An Institutional Experience
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article review causes of pancytopenia in clinical practice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No cytogenomics data or prognostic data included. No mention regarding idiopathic cytopenia or clonal cytopenia of undetermined potential which represents a good number of cases in real practice The authors selected cases in whom the cause of pancytopenia was clear, however the study ignored the autoimmune causes, medications and other types of infections, etc. No comprehensive discussions or educational experience included. No cytogenomics data or prognostic data included. The quality of images is suboptimal 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are outdated with the most recent one (single reference) from 2017	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and grammar need revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should clearly states that cases with idiopathic or unknown pancytopenia were excluded from the study. • Modify the inclusion criteria as per the most recent WHO classification cytopenia definition: haemoglobin < 13 g/dL in male patients and < 12 g/dL in female patients for anaemia, absolute neutrophil count < $1.8 \times 10^9/L$ for leukopenia, and platelets < $150 \times 10^9/L$ for thrombocytopenia "Greenberg PL, Tuechler H, Schanz J, Sanz G, et al. Cytopenia levels for aiding establishment of the diagnosis of myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood. 2016 Oct 20;128(16):2096-2097. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-07-728766. Epub 2016 Aug 17. PMID: 27535995; PMCID: PMC5341483" • Address the below comments: <p>Introduction:</p> <p>1- This sentence is not accurate : "which primarily or secondarily affects the bone marrow" and should be corrected to indicate that pancytopenia could be secondary (peripheral cause) or primary (bone marrow suppression).</p> <p>Methodology"</p> <p>Please clarify the inclusion criteria in the methodology. How selection bias was eliminated</p> <p>Results :</p> <p>In the results the authors classify acute leukaemia according to old FAB classification which is almost obsolete, and this should be reclassified according to WHO classification</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The images should be changed with more informative ones and educational legends. <p>I would recommend re-writing this manuscript in a more educational way and this would include referral to cytogenomic informations and including section for clonal cytopenias of undetermined significance</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Dina Sameh Soliman</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Cairo University, Weill Cornell Medicine College, Egypt</p>