

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2863
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Social Network Types on the Physical and Mental Health of the elderly
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript holds significant scientific value. With advancements in technology, the population of elderly individuals is on the rise. As a result, it is crucial to address and provide insights to the scientific community regarding how social networking affects elderly population. Understanding these impacts is essential for developing effective strategies to support the well-being of older adults.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, I agree, but it would be more effective to title it "The Impact of Social Networks on the Physical, Mental, and Psychosocial Health of the Elderly." This title clearly conveys the focus of the study and highlights the various aspects of health that are influenced by social networking. By emphasizing both physical and mental health, as well as psychosocial factors, the title captures the comprehensive nature of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but "Introduction/Background" should added	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, as it references numerous contemporary studies from around the globe. Additionally, it aligns well with real-world observations. As individuals age, their physiological capabilities tend to decline, leading to an increased need for support. This support can be derived from social networks, which the manuscript highlights as a critical resource for older adults.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Yes, references are up to date but they better cite automatically rather than manually. I suggest the recent article titled in "Insomnia and associated factors among elderly population in Debre Markos town" in which social support is statistically associated with insomnia, mental health.	

Review Form2

<p>+Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it presented with easily understandable way. But space is needed between words in some part of the manuscript like, solid. After, shrinking the, Internet use.....</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Authors conclusion on negative impact of internet social networking should be clearly stated.- If any, How restricted network benefit the elderly for physical and mental health improvement ?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yideg Abinew Kebede
Department, University & Country	Debank University, Ethiopia