

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3012
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL EFFECTS OF CRUDE EXTRACT OF Azadirachtaindica AGAINST Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like it, because drug development plant based compounds could be useful as newer drugs with minimal side effects. <i>A.indica</i> leaves possessed good antibacterial activity confirming the great potential of bioactive compounds and is useful for rationalizing the use of this plant in primary health care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes but it need corrections and addition major results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The extract of <i>A.indica</i> when used as medicinal plant, could be useful for the growth inhibition of the pathogenic bacteria. The alkaloids, glycosides, flavanoids and saponins are antibiotic principles of this plant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, it must add new references.	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is.	
Optional/General comments	Methods, results and discussion too shorts, must add more details.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neihaya Heikmat Zaki
Department, University & Country	Mustansiriyah University, Iraq