

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Advanced Concepts in Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_6915E
Title of the Manuscript:	Cataract Surgery in Germany: Data from a German Registry on Quality Outcomes for Cataract and Refractive Surgery in the Year 2018
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, absolutely. The theme is very relevant for the Ophthalmolgy community and suggests more research across the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, definitely. I believe the chosen title is very appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, absolutely. I enjoyed reading this article (or book chapter) very much. Its writing is very objective and clear.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, absolutely. As priorly stated, the used language (English) is precise and clear.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The approached topic seems punctual and relevant. The methodology seemed clear and easy to understand with graphics that clearly confirmed and explained its data. Discussion and conclusion, somehow, implied the importance of further research and encouraged the registry of further surgical data, regarding quality outcomes in cataract and refractive surgeries. These protocols are not universally adopted in the majority of the countries of the world.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, in overall the references are sufficiently adequate and recent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulo Ricardo Pereira de Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Lutheran University of Brazil, Brazil